UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE      | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/734,046  | 12/11/2003       | Sandy Garrett        | GAO-001.01          | 8990             |
| 7590 10/09/2007 FOLEY HOAG, LLP PATENT GROUP, WORLD TRADE CENTER WEST 155 SEAPOR T BLVD |                  |                      | EXAMINER ,          |                  |
|   |                  |                      | COLBERT, ELLA       |                  |
|   |                  |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
| BOSTON, MF  | BOSTON, MA 02110 |                      |                     |                  |
| į   |                  |                      |                     |                  |
| <i>]</i>  |                  |                      | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |
|   |                  |                      | 10/09/2007          | PAPER            |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

|  | Application No.  | Applicant(s)   |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|
|  | 10/734,046   | GARRETT, SANDY   |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary  | Examiner   | Art Unit   |  |  |  |
|  | Ella Colbert   | 3694   |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app   | ears on the cover sheet with the c   | correspondence address   |  |  |  |
| Period for Reply   |  |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin 11 apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from 12 cause the application to become ABANDONE | N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |
| Status   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 M  | <u>arch 2006</u> .   |  |  |  |  |
| 2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ This   | This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.   |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is   |  |  |  |  |  |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-61</u> is/are pending in the application.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  | alastian requirement   | ·  |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) <u>1-61</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers   | •  |  |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine   | r.   |  |  |  |  |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11) The oath of declaration is objected to by the Ex   | ammer. Note the attached Office  | Action of form PTO-152.  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |  | •  |  |  |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  |  |  |  |  |  |
| a) All b) Some * c) None of:   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage</li> </ul>  |  |  |  |  |  |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  |  |  |  |  |  |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.   |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  | •  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  | 4) Interview Summary   |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)   | Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F   | ate  |  |  |  |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>3/13/06</u> .   | 6) Other:  |  |  |  |  |

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-61 are pending in the Preliminary Amendment filed 3/30/06.

2. The IDS filed 3/13/06 has been considered and entered.

## Election/Restrictions

- 3. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - Claims 1-16, drawn to a method of payment for equipment usage, classified in class 705, subclass 39.
  - II. Claim 29 and 30, drawn to a method of leasing equipment, classified in class 705, subclass 1.
  - III. Claim 31 and 45-51, drawn to a method of depreciating equipment and payments for depreciation, classified in class 705, subclass 40.
  - IV. Claims 52, 17-28, 32-44, and 53-61 drawn to enabling a computer system to specify at least one of payments for depreciation of equipment for cumulative commitments of usage of the equipment, classified in class 705, subclass 38.

Inventions I and III are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because Invention I has a method of

Application/Control Number: 10/734,046

Art Unit: 3694

payment for equipment usage. The subcombination has separate utility such as a method of depreciating equipment and payments for depreciation.

The examiner has required restriction between combination and subcombination inventions. Where applicant elects a subcombination, and claims thereto are subsequently found allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

Inventions II and IV are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because Invention II has a method of leasing equipment. The subcombination has separate utility such as Invention IV has enabling a computer system to specify at least one of payments for depreciation of equipment for cumulative commitments of usage of the equipment.

The examiner has required restriction between combination and subcombination inventions. Where applicant elects a subcombination, and claims thereto are

1 - 1 - 3

subsequently found allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 3694

Applicants' are advised that the reply to this requirement to be completed must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ella Colbert whose telephone number is 571-272-6741. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday, Wednesday, and Thursday, 5:30AM-3:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Trammell can be reached on 571-272-6712. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

September 27, 2007

PRIMARY FXAMINER